

Patients have a positive rectal swab from day one, which meant that this virus was in your stool from the day you picked it up.

And the second thing you found was on cereal swabs. When patients improved and recovered, the nasal swab became negative for us. But the rectal swab in some patients remained positive for up to seven months.

So the virus was residing in the gut.

Then he went ahead and examined the stool samples to see why this virus is lingering in the gut, because clearly some of these patients had no symptoms of viral infection. They were hungry, but there wasn't an infection per se, you know, fever and all those kinds of things. And when he looked at the stool samples, he found two things. One was that the stools had a very high viral titer lots of virus in the stool. And he also found that the stool was full of proteins that looked like toxin like peptides. So he went ahead and centrifuge the infected sample of stool, took the liquid off the top which has no cells in it. So he could only have vials, some toxin in it, and really nothing much else besides a few proteins and things. And he put it on fresh, healthy stool, uninfected stool, and he incubated it. And it grew like wildfire. The viral titer went up in that sample exponentially to short growth. He also found that the toxin titer went up exponentially. Now by doing that, a virus needs a host to grow and only hosts he could have installed was bacteria. So he proved that viruses. This virus seems to have what's known as bacterial phage activity, the ability to infect bacteria. So Coronavirus is not only infecting you and the cat and dog, it's also infecting the bacteria indeed. And it replicates in those bacteria so it has another host now when we found this replication in in the bacteria it changed the game, but it made me understand why certain people when we gave them probiotics seem to work. It's likely those bacteria and the probiotics but infected with the virus and just provided more also for the virus in your guts.

The second thing that he found, he analyzed these toxin like peptides, to see what they were. And what he found was they had very close similarity. There was a diversity of them, but they had very close similarity. to certain toxins found in nature. And he found this similarity of the different toxins to 15 or more different kinds of snake venoms. The communist was Indian king cobra and the Philippine great he found three kinds of toxins which are sea snail poisons. These are neurotoxic systems tend to paralyze their prey, he found one form of a starfish poison and he found a whole host of different material proteases. Now clearly these couldn't have manifested themselves in the cut.

Naturally, I mean, when did a starfish, snail and RNA virus and a snake get into a meeting to decide to eliminate humanity? It was definitely an engineered meeting. So with this with this information, I suddenly realized what Tom was telling me about inflammation. And now I understood how it was able to transmit from one person to another. So clearly, this virus was infecting the bacteria in certain people's gut and causing toxicity.

If you look at a route Well, the first thing is not everyone has the right bacteria to get this toxicity. So the toxicity was dependent on the kind of bacteria you have. And that's the reason we were wondering why some people got worse and some people that Lanco than you because it recruited the diversity of bacteria in your gut to do the job for it. And of course, we were wondering how this Minion RNA virus could do all these different things. It had recruits and they did different things. So when you look at poisons toxins, they work in three specific rapes. Poison is usually classified as either cytotoxic way damages tissue, and we've seen with COVID the endothelial injuries or those kinds of things that we saw with the virus the secondary group is hemo toxic, where it damages your blood. And so your brain bleeds to death. And we've seen that with the with the bio virus as well. We've seen clots and bleeding disorders and affectation to circulation or hematology. And then of course, the last is neurotoxin, where certain venoms paralyzed and we've seen all the neurologic injuries with the virus and so clearly, this was when I saw that clearly, it was well engineered by a weapon.

This is not something that occurs naturally in nature. Very I was I was actually shocked at that point, to realize that this was one of the most well engineered bio weapons anyone could have dreamed up. It had so many different ways. Of course he did that no one would be suspicious. It had the spike protein with all the diversity of different proteins. in it. I mean, despite routine habits of HIV, TB 120 prions, it had a whole range of different proteins that we knew were pathologic. That's how when I looked at the Spike protein on the virus, and when they eventually draw up models of this protein, and what it was made off, I saw the pathogenic nature of the proteins in it, and I could predict what it was going to do. And so too, with the vaccine and the side effects, we could expect immune suppression, beyond like disease, blood clotting, all these different things. So we knew what the vaccines were going to cause before they even having a mass rollout. We knew these are the problems we couldn't see. And I also mentioned those that are allergic to spike protein when they get the vaccine. Early on in that first week. You're gonna see a lot of things. Suddenly, people just stop and that happened. There were a lot of deaths very close to the vaccine. And then the long term implications started to show up after that.

Yeah, so So I'm 100% sure that we're dealing with the Bible. There's absolutely no doubt in my mind, simply because of the science that tells me that this is well engineered, and of course, the nonsensical response to it that had no benefit at all, but God mandate.

It was a distraction. The second thing that proved to me that this was a deliberate bio weapon, that insert that I was suspicious of at the start of the pandemic, was always there at the back of my mind, and it was then analyzed by Professor U haul. And Professor machi. From the states. They published an article on their findings. They were afraid of going public but eventually, Philip McMullen and I convinced them to do a interview with us, so that we could bring information to the public and what they found us or to a scale each other, and they looked at that sequence. Now, when you look at RNA codes, as much as they return in the same alphabet, they are in different languages. So a bat RNA has the same alphabets as a human RNA, but it uses different words, using those alphabets. And so you know, that that language and when you see a human code, you know, that's human

language, because it's using certain combinations of alphabets to make words like like, like different languages do. And clearly, this insert was not an event. And when they looked closely at it, they found that it had originated in a human cancer Selenite.

To me, so they found that the sequence came from a human cancer cell line, and was very unusual to find it on a bat.

And then when they put the sequence into the blast search, which looks at comparing it to all the other genomes around us, they also found that that sequence was actually part of a patented sequence from moderna. And that particular sequence was patented in 2020. Sorry, in 2017. And well, the virus ever showed it real detail. So the question needs to be asked, What was the patient ID sequence from moderna that was painted in 27 during the violence at the end of it? Can I just add to that very quickly?

And I've actually read documents about this very thing, and the same the chances of that happening, in other words, the the same sequence of peering and the spike protein, and in the Maderna payment on one in 6 million.

Yeah, one and get your head around this. This is clearly deliberate.

And as well as what we must remember as well. When you have mutations, they are random, absolutely random. And that's the reason a lot of mutations never make it simply because they can't support life. They to deleterious to life. So mutations occur all the time, but they very random. Now, it's very strange that we got this mutation of the virus that was printed in 2017 by modern the exact insert is directly on the spike protein area of the the RNA, the most dangerous part of the virus. That piece that was put in codes for the fueling cleavage site, which increases the contagiousness and pathogenicity of the virus to cause more severe illness cannot function. So how come you put the sequence finds its way to the most lethal part of the virus. So to our for your viewers to understand what has happened here. Imagine a chapter of a book being rewritten. Exactly. So when replication occurs, the chapter gets written in exactly the same words, the number of words in the chapter are the same. Every word is the same as everything.

If you make a mistake you will make one mistake, or one alpha, which in one word will get misspelled.

But the context, the meaning remains the same.

Right? And that's normal, what we call point mutations. That's what occurs in nature. One point made a mistake. But when you look at this sequence, it looks like someone in this chapter inserted the old sentence.

And what's more telling, it's not just a random sentence like nature would do, not a sentence by random mutation. This sentence had meaning and it changed the meaning of the entire chapter.

So this was not random. Someone put that sentence in there to change the meaning of the chapter.

It couldn't have been done by name. And so that's that's what came out with this. And of course, we're looking at the cancer cell line and how that comes into this scenario. The only feasible way that this virus could have incorporated that insert into it is if someone was working on this human cancer cell line and those cells are infected with this virus, and somehow there was this chance driving of a piece of the genetic material of the cancer cell into the virus, but that is highly unlikely because again, if that happens, it is a completely random process. So why would this piece get inserted into a virus and have this kind of toxic effect?

Any piece of that cancer cell DNA could have got inserted or RNA could have been inserted why that piece? I put into the piece. So there's a lot of questions that need to be asked.

I guess there's not going to be any decent answers to it. I think they're going to beat around the bush trying to cover their tracks.

I was well aware as well. It's got from the interactions with the Department of Defense that the Defense Department of Defense had very big connection with this.

We understood that in 2014, the the virus research was moved across to one, it was being outsourced. I knew that the Department of Defense is involved. And I knew that this is a very high profile plan.

And it wasn't about your health intervention. It was more about please setting society.

More a military strategy, like I was told, you know, and so from the start, I knew I'm dealing with the Department of Defense. I gotta be very careful. As much as its partisan. word gets out and my life gets threatened. When this last report came out about this being managed by the Department of Defense, and it was the Department of Defense Initiative, rather than any health intervention. I had a big smile on their face because they found the clip. So the Department of Defense has a lot to answer for.

If you look, if you take a step back and look at the broad picture that we see, we've got a 40% increase in all cause mortality in highly vaccinated countries with the temporal links to the vaccine. So for all intents and purposes, it is the vaccine causing the sole cause mortality. I did mention that we must keep alive that because it's a constant values, but that doesn't change very rapidly. And if the vaccine was going to have effects on a broad basis, then we'd see it in the change in all cause mortality. And by looking at that, we've seen a 40% increase that as well. People that are affected by untimely death, or who have to pay for those debts will do the statistics in a proper way. By said, Look, we must talk to the chairman of the currency industry. They're not going to pay for these debts if they didn't factor it into your monthly premiums. It wasn't part of the actuarial. And so very quickly, the insurance industry came out and said we're seeing an increase in debts and of course taking part in an experimental vaccine is a risk you chose to take, so they don't have to pay up to life policy. You know, it's got to win early on. I've got a friend of mine who keeps trying to sell me insurance. He's a broker. And he came to visit one day and we were discussing the virus and this was in 2020, when they were talking about vaccines, and then in 20, early 21 When the vaccine rollout started, I saw that everyone here so that the company, were looking to mandate it for their staff. And so I asked him, I said, Hey, these guys are looking to mandate Discovery Health. And Sanlam. And all these insurance companies said to me, someone dies from

the vaccine, or they're going to pay because you're giving vaccinated people discounts and you raising the premiums of those unvaccinated like they're at higher risk. But if you find the vaccine is toxic, will you pay for any side effects? And he said yesterday, I said, Please clarify. And what he did was he wrote to every one of these different companies and said, Look, this is the question and they wrote back to him to say, Yeah, we pay for it. I was silly not to collect all those letters from the pay for the injuries because now they bring a journal not to say that No, no, no, if this was a vaccine injury, and it was you knew the risks, and so it's akin to suicide, taking an unreasonable risk, even.

Yeah, even though there are a few points that I just want to touch base with you on that. The first one is it's interesting that cloud swaps book COVID-19 And the great reset came out in June 2023 months after the pandemic was issued. Now that book is very comprehensive. It has a huge number of references, and it didn't take three months to publish. That book was clearly put together a year or two before and it was well planted. So the World Economic Forum clearly involved in this the other thing I just want to clarify here and get your comment.

Is the experiment. I'm not going to call it a vaccine because it isn't the experimental use authorization. Bio weapon was never a vaccine. It was never actually developed to to fight COVID-19. Its primary function was to put into people's bodies, toxic poison, and pathogen to create a genocide. That was his primary purpose global.

Yeah, and that's exactly what happened. Let me let me just bring some clarity to that.

When they initially made the vaccine, everyone was saying it's an mRNA vaccine. And I said, No, it's not. It's a spike protein.

So when the vaccine came out, everyone was the controversy was the as to whether it stops infection? So we question that, whether it stops transmission, whether it stops severe illness and death, so we've questioned everything around the vaccine. But I felt that there was one thing that needed further questioning, which was the design of the back seat. It didn't

make sense to me, right now. If you want to fly, there's no point designing a car.

So you've got to know what you're trying to achieve before you start designing something.

So I looked at it and thought okay, what are we trying to achieve here?

Now, if you look at the science behind how the vaccine works, they inject you with a piece of messenger RNA, which is a code that code gets into yourself. It's read by your ribosomes, and it codes for spike protein so when your ribosomes read it, your endoplasmic reticulum makes buying protein follows the instruction. Now, once your body starts to make the spike protein, which is the toxin, your body recognizes that there's this foreign substance there, Spike protein, and so it makes antibodies to spike. Protein. Now, those antibodies that it makes, if they're neutralizing, when you get infected with the virus with the same spike protein, they will bind to that spike protein and they will be able to deactivate or inactivate the virus and that is how we wished to get immunity. So the aim of messenger RNA was to get the recipient to develop antibodies to spike protein. That's what we want to achieve our aim game, antibodies to spike protein for the person that took the vaccine. Now, messenger RNA is a very fragile substance, right? So it had to be kept in mind and dignifies the fridge that transport and distribution and administration was so difficult because of the nature of the mRNA. Then with the administration, you didn't know how far this was gonna go in the body. And this is not even talking about the nanoparticles, their issues, just the messenger RNA. You didn't know fight was gonna go. You didn't know which tissue it was going to go and permeate. You didn't know how much spike protein it was going to make. You didn't know for how long you didn't know how long that spike protein that it made would persist. And then of course, being messenger RNA didn't know whether it would be reverse transcribed into your DNA affect your DNA.

The toxicity of the spike protein as well with cause issues but the bottom line is the messenger RNA was very fragile. And with all these variables around, it would be hard to get some consistency in your vaccination program. But more important, we want to achieve antibodies just by protein.



That's what we want. To achieve. So my question to the experimental research work was if you want to get someone to make antibodies to spike protein, all you got to do is give them a little injection with Spike protein.

The body will recognize it as foreign and then make antibodies to spike protein. Now he's the object someone who spied continues to get antibodies to spike protein. Why do you need this volatile messenger RNA?

Now we could have been made spike protein in a lab. Those perfectly for every individual, because it's a stable protein, we can dose it because it's a stable protein. We don't need dignifies a fridge to keep it weak. It has a specific HalfLife in your body because you're giving a specific dose. We could tell exactly when the immunity started to wane and given a booster. We could have modified that spike protein as the variants changed to keep up. So why did we need the messenger RNA? Why did we make the body into a spike protein factory when we could have made the spike protein in a factory outside the body and got the same effect antibodies to spike protein. So then the question needs to be asked what was the messenger RNA its purpose? If it was not to make spike protein, then what was its purpose? Its purpose what it had to do.

If you look back at the Rock Rotschild gates, all of them 100 years back, they were all talking to things depopulation and eugenics.

Now if you look at the vaccine, it fulfills those two things. The spike protein will fulfill the depopulation agenda, the messenger RNA will fulfill the transhuman agenda. So I can be proven in liver cells that it can be reverse transcribed into DNA. I am sure that there is going to be affectation of DNA for those patients vaccinated.

And that's the two agenda, eugenics and depopulation all put together in one fake vaccine that's supposed to keep you alive. Not just on that point. Shankara you've heard of shedding where the vaccinated person sheds toxins and pathogens on to the unvaccinated person. Will the unvaccinated person the pure bloods will they have the same fate as those who have been vaccinated if their shadow?

Look, shedding is an issue Scott? It is clearly it clearly happened the way it first came to light with two different areas where this was clearly shown what we saw was vaccinated.

Pregnant woman late in the pregnancy or early after childbirth, if they were vaccinated. We saw a few infants die from being brisquet.

And clearly it was spike protein that caused those deaths. The second thing that draw attention to this, we had unvaccinated woman visiting vaccinated friends and started suddenly studying to menstruate. And it happened two or three times it wasn't just a coincidence, when they were really challenged with the vaccinated person they're going to get and that proved that that person was causing them to bleed. So clearly they were shedding something.

Recently, there was another study done where they looked at parents that were vaccinated and unvaccinated with unvaccinated children and they found that parents that were vaccinated when they tested the mucosa add mucus from the nose of their children. It had spiteful or virus related IgG in its mucus, so that could only come from their parents. The vaccine the unvaccinated parents children had none of it in their in their mucus. They also looked at in hospitals vaccinated and unvaccinated staff and they examined their masks and they found again these antibodies in the masks of unvaccinated people.

But remember the concentration was very low compared to what they found that it was just antibody so they probably had spiked protein that they were that they were exposed to and developed a little bit of an antibody response because it was a very small dose. So I don't think the unvaccinated are that egregious as the vaccinated. But that's controversial. Also remember that it's not only the vaccinated that are going to be causing this problem. The fact that this virus can infect bacteria, we're not sure the diversity that equally infect and so we might be having bacteria in our normal environment that are infected with COVID and be able to transmit so there's this is this is something that's more than just the vaccinated that we got to be cautious of. This is going to change the face of nature as we know. Sabina Hassan, dear friend of mine from the sacred, she's adopted that looks at microbiology and

she found a lot of different gut bacteria that were damaged by COVID. And these bacteria were vitally important in your survival.

And so, if this viral infection decimates that species of bacteria, as a planet, we got a big problem, because we need those bacteria to survive. We can't survive without it. So she's actually now opened a stool bank. Yes, you heard right. People are going to swap their stools for future use so that we can keep growing good bacteria and re implanting it into people. She's really been in talks. He wants me to open a branch in South Africa, because stool is going to be the new gold Scott, especially if you vaccinate. So yeah, you know, I never thought of the doctor coming to the point where our child

just just on that same subject when it comes to blood, donations or getting blood. Is there an argument that an unvaccinated never get the blood of a vaccinated person because of the toxins in their blood?

Yeah, Scott, that is absolutely true. A vaccinated people will have spike protein in their blood. That's besides the nanoparticles and other things that are there. And remember, these are these are toxins. They are contaminants, and no blood bank is going to come and collect blood that has contaminants in it. But there's been pressure on the blood services globally, not to note the vaccine status of their donors.

So when you got that name, you don't know whether you're going to get back into blood or not. I did help in supporting a court case in New Zealand. I don't know if you remember baby will? Yes. It took him a while. They forced him to be lazy.

Yeah, it was very tied into that case. I was contacted by friends in New Zealand from the family as well, to please speak out and have an interview.

I did the first in fact, in fact, we did an interview with Scott it was really hot. So they contacted me. I discussed the case. They explained to me that they want to pay refusing to give the baby unvaccinated blood even though the parents had arranged for private donation. This was so disingenuous prior to this case, the blood banks around the world had a section where on

the on the website where you could arrange for private donors to donate your blood. And this was always there. And suddenly with this case, all of them took it off. So now suddenly, you couldn't get a private donor to donate.

And I was going to do that interview at eight o'clock that morning. Strangely, at six o'clock that morning. I heard that the police had come into the baby's Ward had Well let's call it kidnap the baby from its parents And they went to I went ahead and did the operation without any consent from the parents actually got that video where they came and women. Yeah it was. And I think Scott is two things that they wanted to achieve with that one.